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Africa’s Rising Investment Tide:

How investment partnerships will mobilize USD$30 billion/year to achieve water security and sustainable sanitation in Africa

Every US$1 invested in climate-resilient water and sanitation returns at least US$7

Investing in Africa’s water security will realise rights to water, health, education, energy, food security, a healthy environment, gender equality, and many other societal gains for this generation – and those to come.

A watershed investment partnership moment

African and global institutional investors hold significant pools of capital. African governments must tap into these pools of capital in order to mobilise an additional US$30 billion/year towards water security and sustainable sanitation in Africa. This unprecedented acceleration in both the pace and scale of financing, demands changes to the status quo that is reflective of the current global water and climate emergency.

African governments can unlock and scale an unprecedented pipeline of investable water by forging closer institutional investor-public partnerships and greater risk sharing between public and private finance. Achieving this is both a continental and global imperative and offers a significant investment opportunity for African and global institutional investors with long-term patient capital. Multi-lateral development banks as well as financial and private institutions have a crucial role to play.

Water security is a catalyst for Africa’s growth and prosperity

Water security in Africa is central to the continent’s, and the world’s, sustainable developmental ambitions. The International High-Level Panel on Water investment for Africa calls on Heads of State and global investments leaders to seize this watershed investment partnership moment and double the current level of annual water investments by 2030.

The cost of inaction is too high, nearly US$200 billion/year

African countries are currently losing up to US$200 billion/year due to insufficient investment, coupled with the impacts of climate change and inefficiencies. Africa’s population is forecast to grow to 1.6 billion by 2030. The continent will need to produce at least 50% more food and at least ten times more water for energy production to enable growth and development. By 2050, 6 out 10 people in Africa will live in urban areas and 70% of them will be children and youth.

Sub-Saharan Africa loses 5% of its GDP annually (estimated at US$170 billion per year) because of a lack of water, contaminated water, or poor sanitation. Every year, 40 billion hours of otherwise productive time is spent collecting water – a burden disproportionately shouldered by women and girls.
By 2050, climate impacts, largely driven by water-related hazards, could cost African nations US$50 billion annually. Unpredictable floods and droughts aggravate displacement, migration, and food insecurity; they inflict costly damage to infrastructure, devastate livelihoods, and biodiversity. Water pollution significantly degrades available freshwater and ground water resources, further exacerbating water insecurity. One-third of potential economic growth is forgone in heavily polluted water and threatening human and environmental well-being.

A paradigm change in water investments partnerships is needed

Delivery of water investments across Africa is below the target to meet the continent’s growing needs. Currently, US$10–US$19 billion is invested each year. At least an additional US$30 billion/year needs to be invested by 2030. Approximately US$50 billion annually, or US$40 per African per year is required, to achieve water security and sustainable sanitation in Africa by 2030.

The investment gap is even larger to achieve the 2025 Africa Water Vision which requires US$64 billion/year, according to the African Development Bank.

While current sources of funding show only incremental potential for increase, there are several sources that are currently untapped or under-tapped. With appropriate government regulatory frameworks, investors must equally assume responsibility as water stewards and include the full costs of water in their balance sheets and allocate a fraction of their investment to water security.

At least USD$ 30 billion additional finance could be raised for water security in Africa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total value</th>
<th>US$ Billion per Year</th>
<th>Incremental increase allocated to water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral ODA and philanthropy for Africa</td>
<td>US$1.5 billion in 2020 to water from OECD Common Reporting Standard US$0.5 billion from BRICS, gulf states, and philanthropy</td>
<td>Bilateral ODA and philanthropy Increase by 25% will result in an additional US$0.5 billion/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral and Development Financial Institutions</td>
<td>US$20 billion water portfolio in Africa Portfolio turns over every 4 years</td>
<td>Multilateral and Development Financial Institutions Increase by 20%, gives $8 billion until 2030 which equates to US$1 billion/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Banks, MFIs, Local Governments</td>
<td>Information known on National Banks only In 2020, US$6 billion in assets in African National Banks</td>
<td>National Banks, MFIs, Local Governments 20% in water from National Banks and other MFIs US$1.5 billion/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Governments budgets</td>
<td>US$6 billion per year water expenditure infrastructure $ unknown staffing and maintenance</td>
<td>African Governments budgets 20% higher allocation to infrastructure Increase disbursements for staffing and maintenance US$ 2 billion/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution and Mineral Resources Taxes</td>
<td>African extractive sector tax revenue approx. 2% of GDP (US$60 billion), Africa 5.9% of global output = US$406 billion</td>
<td>Pollution and Mineral Resources Taxes 1% water tax on mineral resources US$4 billion/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Investors</td>
<td>US$14 trillion available globally US$700 billion assets under management (AUM) in Africa</td>
<td>Institutional Investors Double AUM in Africa by 2030 10% of which for water and sanitation US$ 10 billion/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuing water related risks and observing environmental standards</td>
<td>US$61–US$67 billion potential impact of water risks reported by 99 companies in Africa</td>
<td>Valuing water related risks and observing environmental standards Several billion $ water related costs averted each year from improved water stewardship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector governance: Efficiency Gains &amp; Cost Savings</td>
<td>US$100 billion efficiencies on existing assets until 2030 (operational: non-revenue, billing, adequate technologies, energy efficiency) US$50 billion new investments per year</td>
<td>Sector governance: Efficiency Gains &amp; Cost Savings Efficiency gain 10% existing assets, 20% new assets US$1.5 billion/year efficiencies existing assets US$10 billion/year efficiencies new assets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant savings generated by industries
The foundation of water security is strong water governance with robust national water policies, effective regulations as well as strategies that are multi-sectoral, comprehensive, and gender transformative. Properly recognizing the true value of water to reflect its value as an input to economic growth should lead to improved water stewardship in major productive sectors with high water use, such as agriculture, energy, manufacturing, mining and others, with appropriate regulations and incentives to ensure compliance and targeted subsidies to those who need it and ensure that no one is left behind.

It is vital to strengthen data to inform investment decision making, ensure clarity in institutions’ responsibilities, foster mutual accountability for results and capacities to deliver on their mandates and prepare well sequenced and prioritised bankable investment projects and pipelines.

Enforcing regulations is paramount to strengthen governance for equitable and sustainable water allocation, management, service delivery and use, and provide the right incentives and risk environment for private investments. Innovative practices and technologies can further improve efficiencies. Comprehensive and coordinated monitoring, review of sector performance will increase transparency.

Together these elements build the foundation for increased investment through Pathways 2 and 3.

**Pathway 1. Achieve more impactful water spending and leveraging**

With conservative assumptions, over US$30 billion per year can be mobilised through three actionable pathways

Pathway 1 is a necessary condition to generate finance through Pathways 2 and 3. The pathways are strongly inter-connected. Underlying all pathways is high level political commitment, leadership and governance reforms that raise the attractiveness of water as an investment opportunity. A well-diversified and skilled workforce of water professionals, increasing gender balance, to deal with water insecurity and management of international waters are critical enablers of all three pathways.

**Pathway 2. Mobilise Domestic Resources**

*An additional US$30 billion/year invested in African water security and sustainable sanitation by 2030.*
The financial performance track record and creditworthiness of service providers should be built to attract private capital and finance. Prioritise matchmaking of the supply and demand for finance with a special focus on climate resilient and blended public-private investment and gender transformative approaches. Efficient delivery modalities and investable legal and regulatory water investment regimes must be prioritised, implemented, and enforced to mobilise private capital at scale by 2030.

With an enabling environment, public development banks and microfinance institutions could also increase spending in the water sector – especially if they are mandated to do so and with appropriate risk mitigation instruments.

Transformative change towards water security will require scaling up proven innovations and moving beyond the traditional “three Ts” of water finance sources: taxes, tariffs and transfers to include a 4th “T” for ‘transformative’ financial flows through dedicated budget allocations for water in economic sectors and investments in agriculture, industry, mining, energy, and others. This will be additional to current allocations to water ministries for social services. A finance instrument for transformative financial flows will need to be developed.

**Pathway 3. Mobilise Global and Continental Investment and Finance**

A significant pool of global institutional capital is seeking competitive risk-adjusted returns from bankable sectors – including aspects of the water value chain. To attract this investment, legal and regulatory frameworks with appropriate risk-sharing mechanisms among public and private investors must be pursued. The frameworks must be supported by the recognition of water and wastewater as a valuable asset classes.

Companies should do more to conserve, recycle, reuse, and protect water resources through internalising the full costs of water across all their operations, and embed transformative financial flows through dedicated budgets for water in their balance sheets and operations.

Water is a central part of climate adaptation and needs to be prioritised within global and regional climate finance and investment. ODA should be used to leverage much greater finance through guarantees schemes, strengthen systems, project development with funding for early-stage project pipeline development, risk management, and results-based financing.

International finance institutions and multilateral development banks should champion water at the highest levels and crowd-in large pools of institutional private capital. Reform of global financial systems, technology transfer, and South-South collaboration are key enablers of access to affordable capital, transboundary, and international cooperation.
To mobilise at least additional US$ 30 billion a year, the High-Level Panel proposes a 5-point Action Plan for Heads of State and governments, business and global leaders, to support the implementation of the three actionable pathways.

1. **Establish cross-sectoral political leadership at the highest level**, with commitment to substantially increase public budgets and investments for water security and sustainable sanitation. Water affects all economic and social sectors. Cross-sectoral leadership is critical. Make increased water security and sustainable sanitation a national and continental priority.

2. **Track progress and enhance mutual accountability for results** in the mobilisation of water investments and in peer review mechanisms at continental, regional, national, sub-national, and community levels. Recommit to allocation of at least 5% of national budgets for the water and sanitation sector and 0.5% of GDP per annum for sanitation and hygiene programmes.

3. **Mobilise new sources of funding and innovative finance**, such as institutional investors. Actively support matchmaking platforms to bring together the supply and demand for finance with a special focus on climate resilient, blended public-private finance, and gender transformative approaches.

4. **Strengthen institutional regulation for water investments**, create incentives and penalties for increased water efficiency across multiple industries to lead water stewardship efforts, biodiversity, and ecosystem protection.

5. **Use ODA to de-risk water investments and leverage larger funding streams**. Improve implementation capacity and quality of bankable projects, and strengthen international cooperation by aligning technical and financial support with regional, transboundary and national water investment programmes, strategies and plans.

**THE HIGH-LEVEL PANEL INVITES AFRICAN HEADS OF STATE AND GLOBAL LEADERS TO JOIN EFFORTS TO AT LEAST DOUBLE CURRENT WATER INVESTMENTS AND IMPLEMENT NATIONAL WATER INVESTMENT PROGRAMMES BY 2030.**
Chapter 1: Why invest in water security and sustainable sanitation?

The cost of inaction is too high: nearly US$200 billion per year

Water security is central to Africa’s future growth and sustainable development, but countries are currently losing up to US$200 billion per year due to insufficient investment and inefficiencies.

Africa is the region most affected by climate shocks, which are primarily felt through water. Over 130 climate change related disasters were recorded on the continent in 2020-2021. Unpredictable floods and droughts aggravate displacement, migration and food insecurity; they inflict costly damage to infrastructure; and devastate livelihoods, quality of life, biodiversity – ultimately undermining economic growth and human security. By 2050, climate impacts, largely driven by water-related hazards, could cost African nations US$50 billion annually.¹

Meanwhile, water pollution significantly degrades available freshwater resources, further exacerbating water insecurity. According to the World Bank,² an invisible crisis of water quality is eliminating one-third of potential economic growth in heavily polluted areas and threatening human and environmental wellbeing.

Over and above this, Sub-Saharan Africa loses 5% of its GDP annually estimated at US$170 billion a year³ because of a lack of water, contaminated water, or poor sanitation. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, poor countries with improved water and sanitation services recorded annual average growth of 3.7% of GDP, while their counterparts without improved access had an average annual growth of just 0.1%.⁴ Every year, 40 billion hours of otherwise productive time is spent just collecting water, which disproportionately falls on women and girls.⁵

Water security and sustainable sanitation are the basis for peace, stability, and growth

Water security and sustainable sanitation provide a foundation for overall social and economic development and are the focus of Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 6. They are key to attaining almost all other SDGs. Water security is fundamental to transforming the African continent: boosting food security and agricultural productivity, industrial growth, public health, gender equality, education, affordable and renewable energy – including emerging innovative solutions like green hydrogen – all key ingredients for green and inclusive growth. The appropriate development, governance and use of water resources is therefore a central part of the African continent’s overall development trajectory and will drive that development as well as offer significant employment opportunities.⁶
Box 1. Definitions of water security and sustainable sanitation

**Water security** is the reliable availability of an acceptable quantity and quality of water for drinking purposes, health, livelihoods, and production, coupled with an acceptable level of water-related risks. Water security is framed as a situation where water-related risks are managed, and water-related opportunities are captured.7

**Sustainable sanitation** is a sanitation system designed to be economically and socially acceptable, technically and institutionally appropriate, protect the environment and natural resources, and be durable (i.e., work well over the long-term). Sustainable sanitation systems meet the ‘safely managed’ sanitation standard by considering the entire sanitation value chain, from the experience of the user, excreta and wastewater collection methods, transportation or conveyance of waste, treatment, and reuse or disposal.8

Box 2. Water security and sanitation are vital to achieving all the Sustainable Development Goals

**Water security and sanitation for SDG 6** “Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all”: water resources management, efficient water use, protection and restoration of water-related ecosystems, wastewater management, and access to safe and affordable drinking water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH).

**Water and sanitation in other SDGs:**

### Water security for production:

- **Food production**
- **Energy production**
- **Decent work and economic growth**
- **Industry**

### Water in social goals

- **Good health and wellbeing**
- **Quality education**
- **Gender equality**
- **Basic services in human settlements**
- **Water in climate action**

### Water as foundational:

- **Sustainable consumption and production patterns**
- **Life below water**
- **Life on land**
Figure 1 represents the interconnectedness of water as a foundation of peace, human security, wellbeing, prosperity, jobs, and productivity. Water security affects the whole economy. As part of the natural resource base, the basis for productive economic sectors and revenue generation, water is essential for human security.

Water resources contribute to jobs and productivity when effectively managed, developed, stored, and conveyed to be used for basic social needs and by water-dependent sectors of the economy such as agriculture, energy, transport, mining, industry and others. Implementing integrated water resource management (IWRM) provides a holistic framework for addressing different demands and pressures on water resources, across sectors and at different scales. In most cases, the costs of water infrastructure development and provision of water services are ultimately met through the benefits generated in the economic sectors.

For example, the costs of water infrastructure for hydropower and irrigation are met through revenues collected through electricity tariffs, food prices, and the costs of water for industry and manufacturing in the price of goods. The value of water includes its social or environmental value which transcend its economic worth.

Figure 1. Interconnectedness of water as a foundation of peace, human security, wellbeing, prosperity, jobs and productivity

Source: Africa Water Investment Programme (2018)
While water is a factor of production in the economy, its availability and quality are significantly affected by how it is used. Water use has an opportunity cost, especially when it is in short supply. Even when in plentiful supply, the return of degraded water to a water system can render the entire water supply polluted and unusable – or less usable – for downstream users. Hence, a fair system of financing for water security and sustainable sanitation will introduce appropriate regulation, incentives, and tariffs to correct for market failures that lead to unabated water pollution and impose costs on downstream users.

Africa’s population is forecast to grow to 1.6 billion by 2030, and the continent will need to produce at least 50% more food. Africa will also need at least 10 times more water for energy production to support modernisation of economies. To meet these needs, Africa must unlock its potential through innovative, cross-sectoral investment in water and reducing inefficiencies. Africa possesses large groundwater resources, yet only 5% of irrigation is with groundwater. Development of groundwater can catalyse economic growth by improving agricultural yields and crop diversity. Savings from non-revenue water losses through leaking pipes and uncollected water bills, estimated at 35% of total production, could increase African water utilities revenues by as much as US$800m per year.\(^{11}\)

Three out of four jobs are water dependent\(^ {12}\) and Africa needs to create more job opportunities, especially for its youth. Africa’s population of young people will have increased by 42% by 2030, and with youth comes the opportunity to industrialise, innovate and transform Africa’s economy towards prosperity and peace. A well-diversified and skilled workforce of water professionals – increasing the gender balance through women professionals in all categories – who can deal effectively with the complex issues of water scarcity, climate variability and joint management of international waters are a critical enabler of all three pathways.

There are compelling reasons to substantially increase investments in water security and sustainable sanitation. The benefit-cost ratio for climate resilient water and sanitation is estimated at 7:1 for Africa.\(^ {13}\) Indeed, investment in water security is widely known to deliver positive socio-economic returns. The benefits in terms of productivity, health, environmental and food security far outweigh the costs. Table 1 provides perspectives of some global finance and investment firms towards water security.

Table 1. Global finance and investment firms’ perspectives on the centrality of water security to growth development and human security.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank</th>
<th>Quote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citi</td>
<td>‘Water Worries: Climatic Consequences’(^ {14})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP Morgan</td>
<td>‘Watching water: A guide to evaluating risks in a thirsty world’(^ {15})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Suisse</td>
<td>‘Water: The Paramount Megatrend of our Time’(^ {16})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldman Sachs</td>
<td>‘Water could be a constraint on growth’(^ {17})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBC</td>
<td>‘GDP will be severely hampered by water scarcity’(^ {18})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrill Lynch</td>
<td>‘Water scarcity a bigger problem than assumed’(^ {19})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Stanley</td>
<td>‘Water: The Perfect Storm’(^ {20})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Chartered</td>
<td>‘Water – The Real Liquidity Crisis’(^ {21})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Cited sources and World Wildlife Fund (2018)\(^ {23}\)
Water security helps guarantee human security and the national interest. For example, only 31% of Africa’s arable land is under irrigation. More efficient use of water in agriculture could reduce Africa’s dependence on food imports thereby protecting from the adverse effects of global food price fluctuations and safeguarding scarce international currency reserves. If more food was produced in Africa instead of imported, it would lead to 239 million equivalent livelihoods in 2030 instead of 76 million today. Costs of inaction on agriculture adaptation alone are estimated at US$90.7 billion.

With 90% of all disasters directly related to water and nearly 60% of climate adaptation interventions addressing water-related hazards, water is arguably the most crucial factor to climate adaptation. Water management averts significant spending to both respond to disasters such as floods and to cope with the impacts of drought and failed harvests at large scale. It also saves many tens of thousands of lives a year.

We cannot live safely without water security. Water can be the basis of conflict or a weapon of war, while water diplomacy can be instrumental in peace-building initiatives. Transboundary water resources are especially important in Africa, where 63 international transboundary river basins cover about 62% of the region’s land area and account for 90% of the total surface water. Only 29% of transboundary river basins in Africa and fewer than 10% of transboundary aquifers are the object of transboundary water agreements. Few agreements consider changes in future water supply and quality brought about by climate-induced water variability.

Inadequate drinking water, sanitation and hygiene also have major adverse health consequences. Sustainable sanitation is critical to security by protecting water resources from pollution and averting negative externalities (indirect external costs) and consequences for downstream users. Untreated release of septage and municipal wastewater through piped systems onto land and into rivers, lakes and groundwater, leads to major pollution, rendering water unusable for domestic and productive uses, impacting ecosystems and wildlife. Inadequate water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) in institutions impacts schooling outcomes, especially for girls, and compromises the safety of the population seeking health services. Inadequate WASH also has major adverse health consequences and is responsible for at least 4% of the global disease burden, contributing to 430,000 preventable deaths each year in Africa. Options for wastewater reuse and recycling can introduce additional revenue streams and investment opportunities.
The finance needed to achieve water security and sustainable sanitation in Africa is approximately US$50 billion annually, or US$40 per African per year.\textsuperscript{31,32,33} The annual water investment requirement for Africa to achieve the Africa Water Vision 2025 is US$64 billion per year, according to the African Development Bank. Currently, only US$10-US$19 billion is invested each year. This leaves an annual water investment gap of between US$45 and US$54 billion per year by 2025.

While progress has been achieved for some indicators over the past two decades, there remain gaps that need to be addressed to achieve water security\textsuperscript{34,35} in Africa, sustain economic growth on the continent and meet SDG water related targets. Available data on SDG 6 indicator 6.5.1 show considerable variation in adoption of integrated water resources management (IWRM) across Africa (see Figure 2).\textsuperscript{36} Most countries fall within the range 31% to 70%, meaning there are large gaps in IWRM implementation. From 2017 to 2020, the average score increased by six percentage points from 42% to 48%, with progress across all pillars; however, the pillar on financing is the weakest with the average score still below 40%.

Figure 2. Performance of IWRM in Africa (SDG Indicator 6.5.1) in 2020 (left) and IWRM scores per governance pillar for 50 African countries (right)

Source: SDG 6 monitoring: http://iwrmdataportal.unepdhi.org/
Note: SDG indicator 6.5.1 aggregates 32 indicators into a single score for IWRM
Figure 3 presents the status of SDG 6 indicators for Africa in the year 2020, showing all indicators to be off-track if universal access is the goal.

Figure 3. SDG 6 snapshots in sub-Saharan Africa and Northern Africa and Western Asia, year 2020

Source: UN-Water SDG6 Data Portal (2022)
A huge potential to close the finance gap

A diversity of sources provides significant potential for increasing finance for water security in Africa. Table 2 presents a summary assessment of the potential of each source to increase overall development finance, or for water and sanitation investments to capture a greater share of development finance. It also identifies how greater efficiency savings can be made.

How financing is secured will vary across the entire value chain of water resources and the type of services being provided. Investments can range from investing in water infrastructure for the abstraction of raw water, pumping stations, water distribution and treatment, wastewater treatment, or reuse of water. Water services cover a range of users for basic and productive needs and will be of different scales depending on the investment type and the population size or density involved. Furthermore, investments will also need to target systems strengthening for creating the enabling environment for water investment and deliver the required efficiency gains and cost savings.

Table 2. Summary of potential sources to increase investment for water security and sustainable sanitation in Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finance source</th>
<th>Water and sanitation investments lead to overall increase in development finance in Africa</th>
<th>Water and sanitation investments benefit from an increased share of overall development finance in Africa</th>
<th>Sector governance: Efficiency gains and cost savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC FUNDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral ODA and philanthropy</td>
<td>ODA doubles to 0.7% GDP Capture ODA from BRICS</td>
<td>Advocacy on socio-economic benefits and urgency of water security to reverse negative trend in ODA allocations</td>
<td>Output-based aid / results-based financing (RBF) Support national plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advocacy with high-net-worth individuals and company foundations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Best practice and technical assistance (TA) for operational efficiency and sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral and Development Financial Institutions</td>
<td>Leverage commercial finance (blended finance)</td>
<td>Strengthen project pipeline to increase share of development finance for water security</td>
<td>Strengthen enabling environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Monetary Fund</td>
<td>Special Drawing Rights (SDRs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Nations</td>
<td>No increase expected</td>
<td>Climate funds allocated to water (see below)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral Climate Funds</td>
<td>Water raises overall financing envelope of adaptation funds</td>
<td>Water becomes more central to Nationally Determined Commitments and National Action Plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOMESTIC PUBLIC FUNDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National banks, microfinance institutions (MFIs) and local government</td>
<td>Support municipal borrowing</td>
<td>Increase profile of water in national bank and MFI lending</td>
<td>Address non-revenue water and leakages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Introduce water reuse and recycling facilities</td>
<td>Invest in green infrastructure to reduce flood risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Raise local pollution taxes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of potential sources to increase investment for water security and sustainable sanitation in Africa
## Finance source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Water and sanitation investments lead to overall increase in development finance in Africa</th>
<th>Water and sanitation investments benefit from an increased share of overall development finance in Africa</th>
<th>Sector governance: Efficiency gains and cost savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African government budgets</td>
<td>Leverage private financing Economic growth Tax collection efficiency Debt</td>
<td>Strengthen project pipeline Ngor commitments for sanitation and hygiene 37 Financial data transparency</td>
<td>Strengthen enabling environment Redirect subsidies Operational efficiency of service operators</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Water and sanitation championed in budget setting to achieve 5% of national budgets and 0.5% of GDP per annum for sanitation and hygiene programmes

### Domestic Public Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Revenue raised through taxing water polluters</th>
<th>Revenue raised through mineral resources tax</th>
<th>Averted water pollution through good water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollution and mineral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stewardship and compliance with revised, stricter regulations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Institutional investors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Strong project pipeline and bundling projects to create scale Tariff reform Strengthen investment environment, including regulation</th>
<th>Increase transaction efficiency and reduce bureaucracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Water Users & Water Businesses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Advocacy for water business expansion Strengthen business models and creditworthiness</th>
<th>Attract greater share of lending from national banks and MFIs</th>
<th>Operational efficiency, reduce non-revenue water and cost savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water businesses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households</td>
<td>Loans to invest in WASH Growth in HH income</td>
<td>Spend more of household budget on better WASH services</td>
<td>Switch away from costlier WASH services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Spend more on better water and wastewater services</th>
<th>Switch away from costlier water services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Agriculture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Fines for water pollution</th>
<th>Invest in water reuse and recycling facilities, and in catchment and storage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Solutions to transform investment in water are available

The solutions to address the factors constraining investment in water security in Africa are available and well understood. The actions to address the challenges for achievement of water security in Africa cover multiple natural pressures on water as well as manmade threats. Several more recent continent-wide and national studies enable a good understanding of what is constraining water investments in Africa. Some of these factors relate to the general situation of a country, such as political stability, macroeconomic conditions, degree of transparency and accountability, and public financial management systems. Other factors are specifically related to the water and sanitation sector, such as fragmentation of financing streams, weak institutions, inadequate regulation, and operational inefficiencies of water and sanitation providers. These aspects go a long way to explaining why finance is not flowing to the water and sanitation sector.

While progress is slow and there remain many challenges, it is possible to accelerate water security for African countries by 2030. Over recent decades, many nations have made considerable progress in water resources and WASH development through both public and private investment. Much of this progress can be explained by political prioritisation, the presence of supporting policies, and strengthened institutions and capacities. Thus, there is a pathway towards water security that is well understood. Indeed, many of the challenges can be turned into opportunities for investment and accelerating development on the African continent. In other words, the approximately US$50 billion annual cost to achieve water security for all or US$40 per African per year represents hundreds of billions of dollars of potential return on investment.

Raising an additional US$30 billion by 2030 is possible given the hundreds of billions of dollars that could be invested in the African continent under the right conditions. Also, governments already spend large amounts of money: around US$100 per capita is spent every year on public health in sub-Saharan Africa and over US$30 billion per year was spent on the military across the continent. Countries and development partners therefore need a clear roadmap for how financing can be mobilised to achieve water security and sustainable sanitation. A roadmap covering three actionable pathways is presented in Chapter 4. A five-point action plan is provided in Chapter 5. To propose a way ahead, next steps are presented in Chapter 6.
To implement the roadmap for water investment in Africa, it is important to understand the underlying conditions required for further investments to be made - including the broader enabling environment which can constrain or enhance water investments.

This chapter presents a brief snapshot of the status of the African continent with respect to water and sanitation, pinpointing some of the key opportunities and challenges faced (see Figure 4).

**Figure 4. Key actions required in the water sector and broader enabling environment to attract greater investment**
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Broader enabling environment for water and sanitation investments

Political support for water security and sustainable sanitation

High level political leadership and commitment – is the most fundamental requirement for augmenting investments in water security. This is foundational for all other developments needed in the broader enabling environment as well as the water and sanitation sector itself. Given that governments have so many priorities for all other developments needed in the broader enabling environment as well as the water and sanitation sector itself. Given that governments have so many priorities for development, water and sanitation will not receive the needed funds to meet the needs of social and economic sectors, unless high level commitment and leadership is demonstrated. Leaders must therefore champion water security as a key part of efforts to achieve national development goals, with increased budget allocations for water and climate resilient development.

Sustained public investment in water security and sustainable sanitation has only been achieved when there is high level leadership and commitment from the most senior levels of government, such as Presidential or Prime Ministerial campaigns.

Many African countries have gone some way to raising political interest in water and sanitation, but typically it is not sustained and does not significantly increase public budgets to have real impact. While water is generally well integrated into national development plans, national water investment plans and strategies have been less developed and implemented – which explains the lack of progress on SDG 6.

Low budget allocations and implementation capacity for water translate into weak institutional mandates. Even if a Water Ministry exists, it is often under-resourced or has multiple mandates. The level of political interest also determines to what extent water and sanitation are spotlighted when leaders make international commitments and track progress.

Readiness of the financial sector

To attract finance, the appropriate financial regulations and instruments to enable investments by institutional investors must be in place. While the regulations and instruments may exist for specific sectors in Africa such as for mining, telecommunications, and energy, they typically do not yet exist for water investments. Overall, the financial sector is underdeveloped in Africa, as stated in the African Development Bank’s 2022 African Economic Outlook:

“Financial systems in many African countries are small and underdeveloped, often dominated by commercial banks, which constrains intermediation of long-term capital for several reasons, including high interest rates on the demand side. Further, most domestic financial institutions do not lend to key, low-carbon sectors, even with third-party partial guarantees, because they have little knowledge of these sectors or ability to assess returns on low-carbon projects. They prioritize high-return investments with explicit risk profile information, such as real estate.”

“Africa’s capital markets are equally under-developed and illiquid... Africa’s investment rate of 24 percent is lower than that in other emerging economies and regions... and under-developed financial markets also struggle to attract the growing pool of climate finance, such as green bonds”

AFDB African Economic Outlook 2022, pages 105-6
Financial sector development, as monitored by the African Development Bank’s Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA) Index, shows significant variation across the African continent. The indicator measures the quality of the country’s financial system based on three criteria: (a) financial stability; (b) the sector’s efficiency, depth, and resource mobilisation strength; and (c) access to financial services. Across 37 African countries, the CPIA ranges from 1.7 in Somalia and South Sudan to 4.8 in Rwanda, out of a maximum score of 6 (see Figure 5).

Figure 5. Quality of financial systems in Africa

Sovereign risk – the likelihood of a country becoming unwilling or unable to meet its loan obligations – is a vital indicator for international investors. Sovereign risk is measured by the Standard and Poor’s Global Ratings (S&P), with a rating range from triple A (AAA) to D. Data suggest that most African countries are hovering in the B ranges and several countries have a CCC rating, indicating a level of vulnerability. It will therefore be important to explore measures that might improve sovereign ratings or mitigate against them.

International investors have considerable appetite for African infrastructure projects, having as much as US$550 billion in assets under management. They include government agencies (17%), private-sector pension funds (13%), investment companies (12%), banks (11%) and public pension funds (11%). Several funds are now raising investment in infrastructure in Africa, targeting transport, logistics, power, and telecommunications.

However, water often loses out when infrastructure projects are proposed due to a weak investment case and inadequate investment-ready projects. Despite available finance, a large pipeline, and clear needs, few projects that are prepared result in a financial transaction (‘financial close’). McKinsey terms this as ‘Africa’s infrastructure paradox’. As shown in Figure 6, a large drop-off is observed at the feasibility and planning phase due to low technical capabilities and limited financial resources dedicated to developing feasibility studies and business plans. Also, there is inadequate financial vehicles or special incentives for investment in some countries, and for water specifically.
Figure 6. Less than 20% of proposed infrastructure projects result in financial transaction

The rule of law and absence of corruption are key requirements for financiers investing in the water sector, especially institutional investors. For multilateral development banks or bilateral donors, structures are typically set up to enable project implementation within environments where there is weak governance. This draws resources away from overall systems strengthening and investment in governance which is required for larger scale investments that could occur, such as from the private sector.

Governance varies significantly across the African continent and some progress has been made. Two monitoring systems facilitate an understanding of the strength of the overall enabling environment for investment in Africa. The African Development Bank’s Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA) assesses the quality of policies and the performance of institutional frameworks in some African countries. The Mo Ibrahim Foundation’s Ibrahim Index of African Governance (IIAG) includes 300 measures of governance in four categories, measured since 2007. Figure 7 shows an overall picture on governance that demonstrates a wide range of performance across the African continent.
Three specific aspects of governance are explored here: corruption, capacity to raise revenue, and fiscal decentralisation. The IIAG presents data on the absence of corruption in the public and private sector, shown in Figure 8. The conclusion is that many countries in Africa still need to address corruption, indicating the importance of implementing anti-corruption measures, improving accountability, and providing incentives for improved performance.

The future of government spending is determined by the capacity of taxation and the efficiency of revenue mobilisation. Some countries have shown strong improvements in tax collection in the past 10 years.
Good governance also requires the presence of appropriate government structures and mechanisms for fiscal decentralisation to ensure that funds flow in a timely manner to where they are needed and that fund managers are held to account. The IIAG indicator on budgetary and financial management is shown in Figure 10, indicating quite strong performance in many countries of East and West Africa.

**Figure 9. Capacity of taxation (left) and efficiency of revenue mobilisation (right)**

Note: The darker the colour, the greater ability to collect tax and mobilise resources. Countries in white = no data.

**Figure 10. Budgetary and financial management performance**

All aspects of public finance management can be improved to ensure policy-based fiscal strategy and budgeting; predictability and control of budget execution; transparency of public finances; management of assets and liabilities; proper accounting and reporting; and external audit and scrutiny. Transparency in budgeting and reporting is improving in Africa based on the International Budget Partnership data. Despite this, there is significant room for improvement to establish greater trust both from investors and water users.

Peace and political stability

Conflict and major political instability are among the primary reasons why some countries receive little investment for infrastructure development, including for water security and sustainable sanitation. Armed conflict often leads to forced migration, long-term refugee problems, destruction of infrastructure, and permanent damage to institutions. With climate change resulting in rising temperatures and greater water scarcity, the Sahel region of Africa is particularly vulnerable to future conflicts.

Financial attractiveness of investing in water security

Financial strategies and plans

One of the key opportunities in many African countries is to translate policies and plans into concrete investments opportunities with clear outcomes and impacts on the ground. The AIP Scorecard found that most of the 10 pilot countries have water sector policies but few countries have dedicated investment plans. SDG monitoring shows that at least 30 African countries had a score of 50% or lower on the existence of national and sub-national IWRM plans. Two-thirds of countries have WASH policies, but at most half of African countries have a formally approved policy with a costed plan, and less than 5% have sufficient financial and human resources to implement the plans (see Figure 11).

Also, few strategies reflect considerations of disaster risk reduction, and despite its recorded benefits, integrated planning across multiple water use schemes is not fully achieved.

Figure 11. Percentage of countries that reported formally approved policies supported by resourced plans for urban and rural areas for water and sanitation

Source: UN-Water GLAAS 2021/2 country report
Note: “Sufficient financial and human resources” is defined as having more than 75% of what is needed to implement sanitation plans
For many countries in Africa, Official Development Assistance (ODA) is highly fragmented and follows traditional grant mechanisms. In 2021, less than 50% of donor funds were aligned with the national WASH plan in 10 African countries. Despite several good examples of pooled funding mechanisms in Africa, they remain the exception rather than the norm in the water sector. To support implementation, major stakeholders and water financiers should contribute to financial strategies and plans.

Human resource capacity

Skilled human resources are foundational for strengthening the enabling environment and for operating water and sustainable systems. UN Water’s Global Analysis and Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking Water (GLAAS) reports a strong correlation between a country having sufficient human resources in place and being on track to meet its WASH targets. Develop national human resources strategies to develop and manage human resources for water and sanitation. SDG monitoring shows that at least 32 African countries had a score of 50% or lower on national capacity to implement IWRM. More than 80% of African countries reported they have less than 50% of the human resources needed to carry out key functions for the delivery of WASH services. Despite that, only 28% of countries reported conducting national human resources needs assessments for WASH. Critical skill gaps exist for leadership and management both in government and service providers as well as for financing expertise (planning, budgeting, auditing, blended finance) and basic engineering. In addition, community participation (SDG 6.b.1) needs to be strengthened to ensure community voice and empowerment in small-scheme management. A gender transformative approach is key, ensuring women have a greater voice at all levels, take advantage of capacity building opportunities and take on leadership positions.

Information systems

Robust monitoring systems, including financial data, are critical to the efficient operation of the water sector. Service coverage data provide the basis for financial assessments and planning. A recent United Nations University report concluded that “the lack of water data in Africa manifests itself so strongly that some critical components of water security simply cannot be assessed without introducing second-best surrogates. With such poor data availability, progress is difficult to assess accurately.”

Strengthen national monitoring system for water. The Africa Water Sector and Sanitation Monitoring and Reporting (WASSMO), managed by the African Ministers Council on Water (AMCOW), measures the degree of establishment of national water resources monitoring and reporting systems. Data gaps and fragmentation of monitoring frameworks is a challenge. Figure 12 indicates most African countries have insufficient data for monitoring SDG 6 while some countries such as Senegal, Ghana, Tanzania, and Niger which have well established systems could share their experiences with other countries.
Climate financing and sustainability-linked facilities focus on results-based financing, whereby implementers are paid some or all of the agreed payment after results are achieved and verified. This highlights the critical nature of information management and reporting. WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme, which is the custodian of global WASH data, reports that 57% of sub-Saharan Africa report on safely managed water and 73% on safely managed sanitation. About half of countries globally report on the proportion of bodies of water with good ambient water quality. Most monitoring systems do not capture informal service providers, who a larger proportion of poor and vulnerable populations rely on.

Financial data need to be routinely reported through public financial management systems. Financial audit can be improved in Africa, according to the Supreme Audit Institution oversight scores. Funds raised and spent by local administrations and non-government partners are rarely reported at national level, and water user payments are rarely aggregated at national level. A handful of countries in Africa have adopted WASH accounts, but still only 25 out of 46 African countries reporting to the UN-Water GLAAS survey could report separate budgets for drinking water and sanitation. Lack of financial data was also a constraint on reporting to the AIP Scorecard on public, private and philanthropic finance.

Coverage and financial data are used by about half reporting African countries in decision making such as budget allocation decisions, regulatory functions or to inform sector policy. For WASH, 31 out of 46 countries reporting to the UN-Water GLAAS say they conduct joint sector reviews, but few of these are conducted annually.

Strengthen assessments on the impacts of water-related investments or the costs of inaction at national level. An absence of data and information makes it hard to conduct evidence-based advocacy for water investments. The capacity of water ministries to retrospectively assess the economic, social, and environmental impacts of past investments therefore needs to be strengthened to support planning and facilitate results-based financing. Studies on the cost of inaction can assess an evolving context and help plan for future scenarios.

**Regulation and laws**

Regulation is improving in the water sector in Africa, where the regulatory authority is mandated in law. For drinking water 82% and 71% of countries have established a regulator for urban and rural areas, respectively. For sanitation and wastewater, the regulatory authority has been established by law in 65% of countries. However, few regulators in Africa have the data, the human resource capacity or power to enforce the regulations. SDG monitoring shows that 28 African countries had a score of 50% or lower on the existence of gender in IWRM laws and plans. Figure 13 shows the strength of the business regulatory environment in Africa.
Studies of public-private partnerships (PPPs) in urban water utilities have found significant efficiency gains achieved through involvement of a private party, including reduced water losses, increased staff efficiency, coverage, and daily hours of service.68 In the 20 years from 1991 to 2012, there were only 51 PPP projects in the water and sewerage sector in Africa totalling US$3 billion.69 However, this ignores several hundred small water schemes under private management. The AIP Scorecard found that only two of 10 countries have a comprehensive PPP institutional framework to attract water investments. Regional guidelines provided by the Southern African Development Community (SADC) support countries in strengthening PPP legal frameworks.70

Financial allocations and levels of disbursement

Public allocations to water and sanitation are well below what is needed to achieve national goals. African national government budget allocations to water have declined from US$6.1 billion in 2016 to US$4.3 billion in 2020, a decline from 20% to 13% of total spending.71

The influence of the water sector over other sectors or ministries for securing funding needs to be strengthened. For example, many countries still need to fully integrate water into national climate change and adaptation plans, including financial allocations. There is a significant shortfall in funding from health and education ministries to scale up investment in WASH in healthcare facilities and in schools. Indeed, only three African countries could report on a budget for WASH in healthcare facilities and one for WASH in schools.72

Most reporting African countries (see Figure 14) have less than half the financial resources to meet their national sanitation targets. Only four countries that signed up to the Ngor commitments in 2015 – which require countries to spend 0.5% of their GDP on sanitation – has achieved this target.73

One key problem is that water budgets are often not fully disbursed. In the latest fiscal year, fewer than 50% of countries had a higher than 75% absorption of capital commitments for WASH.74 Low disbursement is explained by weak capacity, slow public financial management (PFM) systems, approvals being withheld, and water budgets being reallocated to other sectors. To increase the effectiveness and impact of public funding, absorption capacity and disbursement levels must increase.

Figure 13. Strength of business regulatory environment in Africa

Source: IIAG (accessed 31 January 2023)
Tariffs and operational efficiency

Tariffs are well below cost recovery levels in most water and wastewater utilities in Africa. Less than half of responding countries indicate that tariffs are sufficient to recover at least 80% of WASH operating and maintenance costs.\(^7\) However, the political economy of tariff-setting requires transparent and inclusive processes both nationally and locally. This should re-examine how tariffs can be adjusted to serve water and sanitation users better, while keeping affordability in mind.

One way of boosting cost recovery – and hence financial viability of water and sanitation operators – is to decrease costs by reducing wastage, thereby achieving savings. A World Bank analysis of water utilities in Africa shows that a high number of utilities register an efficiency of 0.30 (out of a maximum of 1.0), indicating significant potential for improvement in utility performance.\(^7\) In the same study, sampled utilities had a water loss (non-revenue water) of 40%. Another World Bank study analysed data from 605 utilities and found that three actions (increasing bill collection rates, reducing labour costs and reducing non-revenue water) can boost the proportion of utilities that fully cover operations and maintenance costs from 15% to 77%.\(^7\) Good project preparation and competitive procurement can also lead to major cost savings.

In the case of water supply, a major contributory factor to inefficient operations is inadequate spending on operations and maintenance. In many countries, limited financing provided for water supply is spent on rehabilitating installed facilities instead of expanding services.\(^7\) Apart from being a drain, this is a major constraint to the expansion of services to the unserved. Incentives and technological improvements can reduce such waste and improve the efficiency of investments in water resources.

Targeted financial support to poor households

The World Bank estimates that just less than a half billion Africans live in extreme poverty, or one in three Africans.\(^7\) Despite these poverty rates, the UN Water GLAAS reports that only half of responding countries have established targets for affordability of sanitation and drinking water and only a third of countries have widely used financial schemes to support affordable drinking water and sanitation services.\(^8\) The AIP Scorecard found that in just three out of 10 countries included provisions for vulnerable communities in the water tariff mechanism.

Figure 14. Sufficiency of funding from all sources to reach national sanitation targets

Source: GLAAS 2021/2022 country survey
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As a result, water and sanitation subsidies are not reaching the most deserving populations. The World Bank finds that 56% of subsidies are spent on households in the top 20% income level whilst the poorest 20% of households receives only 6% of subsidies.\textsuperscript{81} Hence, the way public budgets are spent needs to be revisited, drawing on evidence of to what extent public budgets are reaching the poor. Smart instruments for delivery of public subsidies (or cross-subsidies between different user groups) need to be designed that ensure the most deserving have adequate access to water and sanitation services while using prices to incentivise responsible use and stewardship of water resources.
While every country is unique, decades of experience show how investments can be leveraged to progress towards water security. Success factors include having the right policies and strategies, fit-for-purpose institutions and regulations, financing instruments, competitive procurement, robust projects, solid data, sector review mechanisms, and capacities.

The three actionable pathways presented in this chapter are:

1. Achieve more impactful water spending and financial leveraging;
2. Mobilise domestic resources; and

These pathways will be most effective when pursued together and in coordination as they are complementary, with pathway 1 essential for the success of pathways 2 and 3. They will all need the engagement and support of political leaders to be successfully realised. To aid this, key actions are proposed in Chapter 5.

Figure 15. Three actionable pathways to achieve water security in Africa
Figure 16 shows several key sources for raising additional resources to close the finance gap. To access new innovative sources of finance, a paradigm shift is needed to link the better use of finance and the value of water and efficiency gains with new sources of finance from a range of domestic and international sources. If just a fraction of climate and private institutional investor funds available can be tapped, water investment in Africa can exceed the target of US$30 billion a year by 2030. The three pathways can be located in the pyramid as follows:

- **Pathway 1:** at the bottom of the pyramid are the efficiency savings and reduction in water risks which provide the foundation for achieving impactful water investments.
- **Pathway 2:** in the middle of the pyramid is domestic resource mobilisation which leverages public, concessional and private finance, including raising significant additional earmarked taxes to finance water security.
- **Pathway 3:** at the top of the pyramid is continental and global finance, accessing official development assistance and mobilising the private sector to invest in climate adaptation.

**Strengthening the enabling environment for investments is vital to achieving efficiency savings and unlocking additional public and private finance.**

**Figure 16. Potential finance sources to close the water investment gap**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Total value</th>
<th>US$ Billion per Year</th>
<th>Incremental increase allocated to water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral ODA and philanthropy for Africa</td>
<td>$0.5</td>
<td>$0.5 billion from OECD Common Reporting Standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral and Development Financial Institutions</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
<td>US$20 billion water portfolio in Africa Portfolio turns over every 4 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral Climate Funds</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>US$2.8 trillion NDCs in Africa, 24% for adaptation Portfolio turns over every 4 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Banks, MFIs, Local Governments</td>
<td>$17.5</td>
<td>Information known on National Banks only In 2020, US$6 billion in assets in African National Banks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Governments budgets</td>
<td>$11.5</td>
<td>US$6 billion per year water expenditure infrastructure $ unknown staffing and maintenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution and Mineral Resources Taxes</td>
<td>$11.5</td>
<td>African extractive sector tax revenue approx. 2% of GDP (US$60 billion), Africa 5.5% of global output = US$406 billion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Investors</td>
<td>$10 billion/year efficiencies new assets US$10 billion/year efficiencies new assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector governance: Efficiency Gains &amp; Cost Savings</td>
<td>$10 billion/year efficiencies new assets US$10 billion/year efficiencies new assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuing water related risks and observing environmental standards</td>
<td>$10 billion/year efficiencies new assets US$10 billion/year efficiencies new assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant savings generated by industries</td>
<td>$11.5</td>
<td>Valuing water related risks and observing environmental standards Several billion $ water related costs averted each year from improved water stewardship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral ODA and philanthropy</td>
<td>$0.5</td>
<td>Increase by 25% will result in an additional US$0.5 billion/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral and Development Financial Institutions</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
<td>Increase by 20%, gives $8 billion until 2030 which equates to US$1 billion/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral Climate Funds</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>15% Africa funds for water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Banks, MFIs, Local Governments</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>20% in water from National Banks and other MFIs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Governments budgets</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>20% higher allocation to infrastructure, increase disbursements for staffing and maintenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution and Mineral Resources Taxes</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>1% water tax on mineral resources US$4 billion/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Investors</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>Double AUM in Africa by 2030 10% of which for water and sanitation US$10 billion/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector governance: Efficiency Gains &amp; Cost Savings</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>Efficiency gain 10% existing assets, 20% new assets US$1.5 billion/year efficiencies existing assets US$10 billion/year efficiencies new assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuing water related risks and observing environmental standards</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>Several billion $ water related costs averted each year from improved water stewardship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant savings generated by industries</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>Valuing water related risks and observing environmental standards Several billion $ water related costs averted each year from improved water stewardship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral ODA and philanthropy</td>
<td>$0.5</td>
<td>Increase by 25% will result in an additional US$0.5 billion/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral and Development Financial Institutions</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
<td>Increase by 20%, gives $8 billion until 2030 which equates to US$1 billion/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral Climate Funds</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>15% Africa funds for water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Banks, MFIs, Local Governments</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>20% in water from National Banks and other MFIs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African Governments budgets</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>20% higher allocation to infrastructure, increase disbursements for staffing and maintenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution and Mineral Resources Taxes</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>1% water tax on mineral resources US$4 billion/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Investors</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>Double AUM in Africa by 2030 10% of which for water and sanitation US$10 billion/year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector governance: Efficiency Gains &amp; Cost Savings</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>Efficiency gain 10% existing assets, 20% new assets US$1.5 billion/year efficiencies existing assets US$10 billion/year efficiencies new assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuing water related risks and observing environmental standards</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>Several billion $ water related costs averted each year from improved water stewardship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant savings generated by industries</td>
<td>$3.2</td>
<td>Valuing water related risks and observing environmental standards Several billion $ water related costs averted each year from improved water stewardship</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Political commitment and leadership to prioritise water security

Strong political leadership is critical to support the recommendations for increasing and enhancing finance for water and sanitation presented in this chapter. Two levels of leadership are needed: institutions and individuals.

It is vital to strengthen high level political leadership and commitment to water security and sustainable sanitation at the continental level. The African Union needs to consider establishing a dedicated committee of Heads of States on water security and sustained sanitation within its structure, similar to its Committee of Heads of States and Government on Climate Change (CAHOSC). A dedicated African Union Heads of States and Government Committee on Water Security and Sanitation could provide high level ongoing leadership and be accountable to the Assembly of the African Union Heads of States and Government.

At the national level, a high-level inter-ministerial body could be set up, comprising all delegated government ministries, including the Ministry of Finance, Ministries in charge of productive and social sectors, Commissions or Committees that encompass water. This could be coordinated by the Prime Minister’s or President’s office, with support from the lead Ministry responsible for water. The latter could be existing commissions or committees, or they could be new ones, provided with added authority. The engagement of parliaments can be crucial in the process of strengthening the enabling environment, such as promoting the regulatory framework, introducing legislation, and approving public budgets.

Strong economic arguments are needed to promote the business case for investments in water security and sustainable sanitation for all. These include the contribution of water to national economic development and the costs of inaction. Specific areas that need support include cross-sectoral coordination, institutional systems strengthening; increasing public finance; engaging private finance; improving transparency through better data and reporting; regional initiatives, fighting corruption; and raising the voice (and outcomes) of the poor and vulnerable.

Pathway 1: Achieve more impactful water spending and financial leveraging

The main purpose of this Pathway is to make water security a more attractive investment by facilitating transactions and increasing the impact of resources spent on water security, to be more efficient, equitable and sustainable. When major inefficiencies exist in a delivery system, they must be addressed before spending additional resources which lead to further waste. As Figure 16 showed, operational efficiencies could be achieved in existing as well as future assets in the order of US$11.5 billion, while implementing measures that fully consider the value of water would bring significant additional savings.

The five steps to implement Pathway 1 are shown in Figure 17. This section outlines several of the key actions that are needed within this pathway.
Use existing tools and data sets to help assess water sector performance and the status of the enabling environment for water investments; most are based on information provided by countries to global or continental platforms. These include: the SDG Integrated Monitoring Initiative; Water Sector and Sanitation Monitoring and Reporting (WASSMO); the UN-Water GLAAS survey; and the WASH Bottleneck Analysis Tool.

The AIP-PIDA Water Investment Scorecard developed by the African Union Development Agency (AU-NEPAD) includes diverse qualitative and quantitative indicators that assess water investment governance and planning, the water investment climate, current expenditures, as well as issues related to social and environmental inclusion within the sector’s policy environment (see Figure 18). Following initial experience in 10 countries in 2022, the tool’s use is being expanded across Africa.
Figure 18. The AIP-PIDA Water Investment Scorecard Framework

1) Enabling environment for water investments

1.1) Water investment
   1.1.1a Water sector governance and institutional coordination
   1.1.1b Water, sanitation, hygiene and COVID-19 recovery planning
   1.1.2 Integrated climate resilient national water, sanitation and hygiene investment plan and financing strategy (multiple sectors, rural and urban, climate-resilient, gender-sensitive, transboundary element)
   1.1.3 Water and sanitation information and data management
   1.1.4 Water-related international treaties and cooperation in transboundary investments
   1.1.5 Integration of water in national climate change and adaptation plans (NDCs, NAPs)
   1.1.6 Integration of water and sanitation investments in national development plans
   1.1.7 Capacity of institutions and human resources
   1.1.8 Disaster management planning, early warning forecasting and response

1.2) Investment climate
   1.2.1 Financial sector development
   1.2.2 Sovereign risk
   1.2.3 Government payment risk quality of overall governance

1.3) Social environmental inclusion
   1.3.1a Gender equality and transformative water investments
   1.3.2 Social inclusion (youth gender, vulnerable and marginalised populations)
   1.3.4 Environment Impact Assessment

2) Mobilising water investments and financing

2.1) Government expenditure
   2.1.1 Public budget commitment/ allocation on (WASH, irrigation, energy, Nature and biodiversity protection) per capita
   2.1.2 Public budget disbursement on (WASH, agriculture, energy, Nature and biodiversity protection) per capita
   2.1.3 Public budget execution rate (WASH, agriculture, energy, Nature and biodiversity protection) per capita
   2.1.4 Gender responsive budgeting system

2.2) Official Development Assistance (ODA)
   2.2.1 ODA commitment/ allocation for water (WASH, agriculture, energy, Nature and biodiversity protection) per capita
   2.2.2 ODA disbursement for water (WASH, agriculture, energy, Nature and biodiversity protection) per capita
   2.2.3 Climate financing and investments (WASH, agriculture, energy, Nature and biodiversity protection)

2.3) Private sector and philanthropic investments
   2.3.1 Domestic private sector investment (WASH, agriculture, energy, Nature and biodiversity protection)
   2.3.2 Public Private Partnerships on water (WASH, agriculture, energy, Nature and biodiversity protection)
   2.3.3 Philanthropic finance to water sanitation and environment

3) Enhancing investment performance and sustainability

3.1) Investment performance/efficiency
   3.1.1 Structure of tariff mechanisms (including ring fencing)
   3.1.2 Water and sanitation pricing efficiency
   3.1.3 Operation and maintenance (O&M) asset management plan

3.2) Investment Sustainability
   3.2.1 Climate resilient water investments
   3.2.2 Water allocation efficiency and demand management
   3.2.3 Economic, social and gender impact evaluation of water investments
Strengthen the enabling environment to support inter-sectoral coordination, the case for water investment and unblock the bottlenecks constraining investment. Strategies to strengthen inter-sectoral coordination and improve the enabling environment depend on the local context and include: policy and regulatory measures; institutional strengthening and capacity development; public finance management; efficient operational management; monitoring and evaluation; and partnerships.

Develop policy guidance and implement water security and sanitation investment programmes and plans. Update national water sector policies, IWRM management plans, investment plans and strategies, ensuring they are comprehensive and inclusive and put in place mechanisms to ensure adoption at all levels. Translate policies into cross-sectoral water finance strategies and investment plans, with the end goal to close the water investment gap, achieve financial sustainability and reduce reliance on ODA (see Pathway 2). Policies need to be explicit on how gender will be integrated ensuring that water investments are gender transformative.

Implement legal and regulatory measures to bring a clear set of rules and provide the right incentives and risk environment for private investments. In every context legal frameworks should balance multiple and competing objectives (e.g., cost recovery versus affordability) and perspectives of different partners. However, international experience suggests that regulators should be independent and free from political interference. Regulators at local level need sufficient capacity to play their role.

A model law has been proposed that provides a legal framework to mobilise private capital at scale for the delivery of the projects under Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) of African nations. In addition, there is a wealth of experience from regulatory frameworks on how regulators have worked in the water sector across the world, including Africa. There are also good examples from the telecommunications and energy sectors in Africa, which are more advanced in their regulatory frameworks than water.

Capacity to implement national and local strategies and ensure the effectiveness, efficiency, and sustainability of programmes. Sector governance and management can significantly improve efficiency, and reduce non-revenue water and pollution. Institutional strengthening: human resource capacity development; specialised public finance capacity, professional, engineering and operational skills; and robust procurement and monitoring systems are critical to address sector challenges. Sub-national contract management capacity for utility contracting or concessions, as well as performance assessment and improvement, are key.

Strengthen public-partnerships to enhance capacity and support investment for water security. It is important that private sector operators are recognised by law, and have recourse to a functioning judicial system. A PPP legal framework is needed, which is the sum of a country’s laws and regulations, guidance, precedents and other materials that impact and govern the full lifecycle of PPP projects.

This framework should confer legal authority on the entities involved in their delivery.

Strengthen institutional arrangements, ensuring clarity in responsibilities, accountability for results and capacities of institutions to deliver on their mandates. Build human resource capacity by identifying skill gaps, providing training, improving incentives systems including salary and non-salary perks, improved staff planning and achieving fair pay and a gender balance. National leaders should coordinate stakeholders and bring development partners’ financial and technical support behind the strengthening of national systems (e.g., procurement, monitoring, reporting, auditing, and capacity building). The role of river basin organisations in implementing policy and raising finance needs to be clarified in the institutional set-up.

Public finance management capacity development can ensure stronger planning and budgeting processes using evidence and not historical budgets. Strengthened public financial management systems, including financial reporting and auditing can lead to more effective and efficient spending. Key areas of focus include providing for long term certainty relating to funding allocations, public finance options to improve grant funding, intergovernmental fiscal transfers, and mechanisms for subsidies to reach the poor. Measures to speed up the disbursement of funds at both national and local level, especially for disaster response, are key to manage risks.

Improve operating efficiency and introduce asset management systems. At project planning phase, it is important to assess value-for-money and do an options- and cost-benefit analysis, to select investments that will be socially, financially, and environmentally sustainable. Preventive maintenance supported by
asset management systems can prolong the asset life of water infrastructure, improve service quality, and prevent sewerage spills. Properly recognising the true value of water should lead to improved water stewardship in major productive sectors, with increased penalties imposed on those not complying with regulations. Metering water use and testing wastewater discharge, where feasible, helps utilities manage demand and introduce conservation measures as well as discharge penalties. Water reuse and recycling can provide an additional asset class from which revenues can be earned.

**Implement comprehensive and coordinated monitoring, reporting and review of sector performance.** A strong system of national monitoring, review, and evaluation is vital for formulating the right strategies and designing and implementing plans. Where possible, this should be replicated at sub-national levels. A single, credible national system should be supported by all stakeholders and used in decision making. Regular review is needed of how the sector is progressing towards the targets and what needs to change, using established annual joint sector review mechanisms where undertakings and responsibilities are agreed.  
Research and evaluation are key to assess whether strategies are working or need to be adjusted. User feedback mechanisms are key, requiring strengthening of civil society organisations and encouraging active participation.

**Pathway 2: Mobilise domestic resources**

The main purpose of this Pathway is to identify significant national finance sources that could be allocated to water security in African countries and take measures to access those funds. Given the many national priorities considered during the budget setting process, it is vital that Heads of State and other senior influencers are ready to champion water security as a top national priority. As was shown in Figure 4, funds raised through Domestic Resource Mobilisation (DRM) could be in the order of US$17.5 billion per year. The five steps for Pathway 2 are shown in Figure 19.

**Improve service payments by water users.** Water users include any individual, household, group, or business that demands and pays for water, sanitation, or wastewater services. It includes municipal, agricultural, industrial, and other business demand.

**Figure 19. Five steps for Pathway 2 to mobilise domestic resources**

- Strengthen public financial management and data and reporting systems
- Implement public expenditure reviews and audits
- Review successes and failures in attracting additional domestic water investments
- Matchmake projects with financiers
- Implement and further fine-tune financial vehicles
- Strengthen regulation
- Adjust tariffs to promote efficiency, equity, sustainability and quality
- Identify the major current sources of domestic finance, by sub-sector
- Estimate the financial gap to achieve water security and sustainable sanitation
- Assess options to fill finance gaps and generate efficiencies, including the attractiveness of different types of water investments
- Develop government-led finance strategy to meet national targets and assure the financial sustainability of the water sector
- Develop cohesive cross-sectoral policy package to close the finance gap
- Appoint political champions to increase finance from all sources
- Conduct in-depth studies on water value and demand
- Conduct advocacy to target audiences
- Strengthen project pipeline for diverse funders and investors
- Develop financial vehicles to simplify and reduce transaction cost
According to recent evidence, 61% of WASH services are paid for by the user, while less than 40% of countries indicated that user tariffs are sufficient to recover at least 80% of operation and maintenance costs. Tariffs should be guided by costs, but not exclusively.

For example, the value of water, the ability or willingness of users to pay, the importance of the water user in the local economy, and the need to reduce demand based on water scarcity will all be factors guiding the pricing of water services. Adjusting tariffs can be a long and complex process involving many stakeholders; different objectives and voices need to be balanced to promote equitable, sustainable, and quality services. National policies should provide a guide to water utilities and service providers, drawing on policy-based tariff-setting guidelines and ensuring subsidies are successful in targeting the poor.

Fiscal allocations are crucial due to low levels of affordability among some users and the lack of access to financing for significant upfront payments for infrastructure. Greater allocations need to be sought from central government pots to the ministries responsible for water security and water using sectors. Additional funds raised could be in the order of US$2 billion per year (not including funds allocated for climate adaptation, which are covered in Pathway 3). Fiscal space studies open a dialogue about how much governments can increase budgets. Learning can be taken from previous experiences on how to engage with Ministers of Finance. It is often difficult to monetise the benefits of investing in water and sanitation that span health, food security, gender benefits, economic and employment growth and ecological health.

Ministries of Finance can support the monetising of water benefits as public funds are frequently employed to provide these benefits.

Line ministries responsible for implementing water security and sustainable sanitation. Line ministries should advocate for more funds from Ministries of Finance. When a ministry has multiple mandates, departments responsible for water and sanitation should advocate for a higher budget within the ministry budget.

Productive sectors. Investment in water security can be significantly increased through the economic sectors that use water for production such as agriculture, industry, mining, and energy. Non-compliance with regulations should provide sources of revenues (see below).

Social sectors. Health and education are key sectors that should be investing in WASH facilities as well as supporting advocacy and awareness raising on the importance of water security, and WASH more specifically.

Service sectors, including tertiary sector. Examples include hospitality/tourism, real estate, retail, media, transportation, and financial and professional services. Many of these are water users and can be charged tariffs above cost recovery levels to help cross-subsidise other users. Some service sector businesses can be approached as investors to have debt or equity stakes in water businesses.

Local governments that generate their own revenues will be able to allocate more to water security over and above what is allocated from higher levels of government. As well as increasing budgets to reflect the local priority of water security, local authorities should be encouraged to review the patterns of existing expenditures and whether the poorest and most marginalised populations are benefitting. Transfers to local government can be motivated based on the outcome of water investments that benefits national finances though healthcare savings, economic growth, food security, and through green infrastructure that reduce the costs of disasters in the case of flooding. Actively managing non-revenue water provides assurance when requesting additional funding from national government.

Public debt is constrained in many African countries. According to the International Monetary Fund, public debt has reached about 60% of GDP in Africa, with the composition of debt shifting towards higher-cost private sources. Nineteen of the region’s 35 low-income countries are now in debt distress or at high risk of distress, with the COVID-19 pandemic further stretching Africa’s economies. Therefore, many African countries will not be able to take additional loans to finance water security and sustainable sanitation. Taking on debt at sub-national or service provider level might be more feasible in some countries.

Transformative change towards water security will require scaling up proven innovations and moving beyond the traditional “three Ts” of water finance sources (taxes, tariffs and transfers) to include a 4th “T” for ‘Transformative’ financial flows through dedicated budget allocations for water in economic sectors such as agriculture, industry, mining, energy and others. This should be additional to current allocations to water ministries for social services. An appropriate finance and economic instrument will need to be developed building on the three Ts.
Additional public finance sources should be considered to create a sound basis for sustainable and stable water finance, including effective use of subsidies, targeting those who need it most, rather than those who don’t, with appropriate tariffs. It will be important to keep tariffs affordable, particularly for the poorest, while reducing distortion by subsidies.

**Additional revenue can be generated based on the principle of polluter pays, with water as a new asset class and earmarked taxes.** There is considerable potential here, possibly more than an additional US$4 billion per year. To reduce externalities caused by water users, waste discharge penalties create both a disincentive for pollution and a dedicated revenue source. The overall goal, however, should be to enact measures that incentivise investment in good water stewardship, given the greater efficiency in preventing pollution at source. Innovation in water reuse and recycling can create a new wastewater asset class able to generate revenue. Ways to bring in additional taxes can also be explored, if viable and politically palatable.

**Tax on polluters of water resources.** The polluter pays principle is founded on the notion of fairness and its primary purpose is to incentivise users to reduce pollution. If they continue to pollute, a tax is imposed which compensates downstream users of water for the additional costs they incur. The size of penalty should be set so it provides a strong incentive for the business to reduce pollution over a reasonable timeframe. A global assessment explores the impacts across multiple industries and identified eight critical and emerging threats. A recent study estimated the costs of eliminating companies’ impacts on freshwater in the apparel sector and meat packaging industry, with annual costs for single companies as high as US$1.77 billion for apparel and US$301.4 million for meat packaging. If these costs were paid for, it would reduce the profits of these companies by an average of 73% and 65% for the two industries, respectively.

In 2022, 99 companies reported 215 water related risks in Africa to the Carbon Disclosure Project, with estimated impacts of at least US$61 billion. A sub-sample of these companies estimated the cost of responding to water-related risks in Africa would be $2.6 billion. Hence, further study and more comprehensive monitoring are needed of the water pollution caused by different businesses, the impacts on the ecosystem and downstream users, and the levels of penalty that would be payable and politically feasible.

**Wastewater as a new asset class.** Innovation in wastewater recycling and water reuse open opportunities to generate a new revenue source from wastewater, support water conservation and the preservation of water. To facilitate innovation, specifically in water scarce regions, policies would need to prioritise water reuse. International cooperation and capacity building must be prioritised to support African countries in programmes promoting green infrastructure, desalination, water efficiency, wastewater treatment, recycling, and reuse technologies. These technologies allow localised responses to climate change risks. Wastewater reuse and desalination technology can address droughts whilst green infrastructure such as water catchment, permeable pavements and bioswales can reduce flooding.

**Resource tax.** Specific taxes such as on mineral resources can raise significant additional funding for a national priority such as water security and sustainable sanitation. Considerable additional resources could be raised from mining companies that avoid tax, thus transferring water-related risks onto the public balance sheet. IMF estimates that profit shifting in African mining leads to a loss in tax revenue of between US$470 and US$730 million per year. If additional taxes were to be imposed on the mining of mineral resources, careful thought is needed on how investors are likely to respond to incentives, and whether unintended revenue losses may ensue. In other words, there will be trade-offs between securing revenues for public spending and a competitive tax regime for mining investors. At a minimum, governments should have clear, transparent, measurable policy objectives that are subject to public consultation and regular monitoring.

**Blended finance will enable the viability gap to be closed and reduce the risk for private financiers.** Blended finance entails a combination of commercial debt, concessionary financing, and public borrowing. Through these mechanisms private finance can play a central role in closing the finance gap for water security and sustainable sanitation.

**National or central banks** provide large loans at base interest rates (below commercial) and typically with long tenure periods. They may work closely with the Ministers of Finance and line ministries on specific national flagship programmes. Advocacy is needed for greater attention to water security. Together with micro-finance institutions, it is possible to raise at least an additional US$1.5 billion a year from these sources.
**Financial institutions.** Water security in Africa will increasingly rely on private finance, given the insufficiency of public funds and the contribution that the private sector offers in driving water business efficiency. It is estimated that, if Africa’s own pension funds could be mobilised as well as other institutional investors, an additional US$10 billion a year could be mobilised for water security.

Commercial banks provide large loans at commercial rates and typically short tenure periods. Interest rates will be higher when guarantees or collateral are not available and/or other risks exist.

**Bankable projects that have an appropriate risk-return profile should seek finance from the private sector and not receive government subsidies.** In the case of near bankability, a blended finance mechanism could be used to attract investors. Domestic financiers do not have as wide a range of investment options as international financiers and the cost of capital will be higher, but they have a more nuanced local knowledge and connections, and thus may have alternative ways of mitigating political and legal risks.

**Mutual funds, hedge funds, pension funds, and insurance companies** will be potential sources of finance in some countries.

Pension funds in Africa have US$700 billion in assets under management, and sovereign wealth funds have US$16.4 billion in assets under management.

The **5% Agenda**, led by the New Partnership for African Development (NEPAD), is targeted at institutional investors (i.e., pension funds, sovereign wealth funds, and insurance companies) with the aim of increasing allocations of African asset owners to African infrastructure from 1.5% to 5% of their assets under management.

**Businesses taking loans.** The expansion of water businesses has significant potential for increasing finance to water security given the unmet needs for water and sanitation in productive and social sectors in Africa. To succeed, they must be supported by laws and regulations, given clear service areas, and their businesses developed to be able to take on greater debt financing (see Pathway 1). To attract financing, water and sanitation businesses need to be more creditworthy and financial markets need to be more developed. Several of the largest utilities in Africa have long-term credit ratings of BBB or above but several smaller utilities had only a BB rating.

An assessment of the creditworthiness of 21 water service providers in Kenya identified four key drivers of low creditworthiness: inefficient revenue collection and inadequate management systems; inadequate operations and maintenance cost coverage; high non-revenue water; and high levels of existing and uncertain debts.

**Microfinance.** There is significant potential for microfinance to pay for investment costs of small businesses and households, especially from banks specialised in agricultural or rural loans. Microfinance institutions provide smaller loans, and they work with local communities to increase access where guarantees or collateral are less available.

Once the different financial sources have been identified and assessed, it will be critical to consolidate these into a funding and financing strategy to achieve water security and sustainable sanitation, and to ensure the financial sustainability of the water sector. A finance strategy will estimate the finance gap to meet the sector targets within the stipulated timeframe; review the options to close the finance gap, understanding the actions needed to attract different types of financiers; and formulate a coherent policy package that will close the finance gap. The development of a water finance strategy should be consultative and aim to bring a broad consensus on the pathways to overcoming finance bottlenecks and achieving water security. It will help bring programmes of many development partners behind the national plan. Given the many diverse water and sanitation sub-themes, it may be more realistic to develop finance strategies for water resource management and WASH services separately, while ensuring their recommendations are cohesive.

**Pathway 3: Secure global and continental finance**

The main purpose of this pathway is to identify significant international finance sources that could be allocated to water security in Africa and to take measures to access those funds. African governments should combine forces to lobby and advocate for greater funding and financing for Africa, and to water security specifically.
Several constraints need to be addressed at the highest level concerning the terms under which the global financial systems make finance available to poorer developing countries. Additional funds that could be mobilised through pathway 3 are US$1.5 billion from bilateral funds, US$2 from multilateral development banks and US$3.2 billion from climate funds. The five steps for Pathway 3 are shown in Figure 20.

**Figure 20. Five steps for pathway 3 to secure global and continental finance**
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Blended financing is dependent on grant funding and concessional financing to ensure that debt is affordable to African countries. Available international grant funding and concessional financing are listed below as possible sources to achieve blended financing where domestic public finances are constrained. These funding sources can be fragmented and not aligned to sector or regional needs. Options for pooled funding arrangements in relation to water security or regional investments could be considered.

**Overseas Development Assistance (ODA).** The percentage of total aid commitments for water and sanitation has declined from 4.6% in 2018 and 2019 to 3.6% in 2020; in value from US$9.6 billion in 2018 to US$8.7 billion in 2020. This trend could be reversed with the right type of political support and recognition of the central role of water security in national economic development and climate risk mitigation. Donors can be more impactful by following principles of sustainable finance, and can leverage more funds to water by providing guarantees for investors, systems strengthening and technical assistance to match borrowers with lenders.

**Climate Funds.** Africa will need US$2.8 trillion between 2020-2030 to implement its NDCs under the Paris Agreement - 24% of which is for adaptation, 64% mitigation and 10% has dual benefits. In countries that provided sector data, 17% of adaptation needs were for water.

International donors and African governments have pledged approximately US$30 billion a year which is approximately 12% of the NDC total, leaving 88% to be sourced from other international finance sources and the domestic private sector. While the NDC sum is currently an unrealistic total, it is assumed that the water sector could close 20% of its own funding gap, totalling US$3.2 billion a year in Africa.

For the water sector to succeed in attracting climate finance it is key to produce robust project proposals that are backed by the latest evidence and allow for future uncertainties. They should demonstrate evidence of cross-sectoral impacts and leveraging of finance. Co-financing is typically a condition of climate funds and hence needs to be negotiated to ensure the project’s future sustainability. In addition, the role of water and sanitation in climate mitigation measures needs to be recognised and evidenced.
**IMF Special Drawing Rights (SDRs).** The SDR is not a currency per se but an accounting unit for IMF transactions with member countries. SDRs are a stable asset which is added to a country’s international reserves, and managed by the central bank to ensure that a country has the foreign currencies it needs to trade with the world. Adding SDRs to a country’s international reserves makes it more resilient financially. Countries can exchange their SDRs for hard currencies with other IMF members.

**Multilateral Development Banks** provide a mix of grants, concessionary and commercial loans depending on a country’s income level. The World Bank has a current portfolio of global water investments of almost US$30 billion, while the African Development Bank has invested an estimated US$6.2 billion in water supply and sanitation services delivery from 2010-2021. Additional grants and loans could be sourced from the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB) which now has 20 approved members from Africa and the New Development Bank.

**Bilateral Development Aid** provides grants and technical assistance, and in some instances loan guarantees for blended financing. The Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Development Assistance Committee (DAC) members have adopted the 0.7% target for ODA set by the UN in 1970. Since then, several countries have met this target and some have sustained it, monitored under SDG 17 Indicator 17.2.1 (a). However, overall ODA was under 0.35% of Gross National Income in 2020, i.e. less than half the target. In recent years, bilateral aid of DAC countries to Africa has averaged around US$1.5 billion per year to water and sanitation. The rise of BRICS countries in the ODA landscape in the past 20 years could lead to increased ODA. There have been an increasing number of loans from China and India on the African continent, which are not captured by the OECD Creditor Reporting System. It is estimated that a 25% increase in bilateral aid could be achieved, totalling US$0.5 billion a year.

**UN agencies** provide grants and technical assistance. The larger players in funding volumes for water security include UNICEF, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), UN-Habitat and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), with most funding from bilateral agencies and a smaller proportion from private sources.

The **African Water Facility (AWF)**, hosted by the African Development Bank, provides grants and expert technical assistance to implement innovative water projects and raise investment for water projects throughout Africa. From 2006 to 2021, the AWF mobilised approximately US$200 million from different funders.

The **Africa Adaptation Acceleration Program (AAAP)**, a joint initiative of the African Development Bank and Global Center on Adaptation, has been endorsed by African leaders as the Africa-owned and Africa-led response to the impacts of climate change on the continent. AAAP is mobilising US$25 billion for adaptation under its four transformational pillars: food security, resilient infrastructure, youth entrepreneurship, and innovative climate adaptation finance, with water playing a central and cross-cutting role. A dedicated Upstream Financing Facility supports adaptation mainstreaming in large-scale DFI projects across the continent.

**International private finance** will play a central role in closing the finance gap for water security and sustainable sanitation, with potentially trillions of dollars available in global markets. A strengthened enabling environment (Pathway 1) and blended finance opportunities (Pathway 2) would reduce the risk for these investors and open new avenues for investing in African water. At the same time, international investments must adopt the highest standards in water stewardship and promote innovations and knowledge transfer to achieve these standards.

**Institutional investors** are companies that buy, sell, and manage stocks, bonds, and other investment securities on behalf of their clients or shareholders. Examples of institutional investors are mutual funds, hedge funds, pension funds, insurance companies, commercial banks, and endowments. These investors are typically looking for higher rates of return but will adjust their portfolios based on risk. International investors have a global overview on where the investment opportunities are and have access to cheaper capital than domestic commercial financiers. On the other hand, they face exchange rate risk and are more sensitive to political risk and the strength of local legal systems.

**Impact investors** seek a financial return but explicitly aim to balance financial return with positive human or ecological development outcomes. The Global Impact Investing Network estimates that over 3,349 organisations currently manage US$1.164 trillion in impact investing assets under management (AUM) worldwide, 2% of which is in sub-Saharan Africa and 1% in Middle East and North Africa.
Results-based financing requires proper governance and monitoring and evaluation of the outcomes of investments in addition to returns on investment.

To attract more institutional investors to water security and sustainable sanitation, project preparation facilities are needed that have the capacity to apply accepted methodologies, guiding feasibility studies and risk assessments. A standard investment appraisal procedure is key for identifying and comparing different projects transparently and setting performance benchmarks which project developers and implementers integrate into project design. Projects should have a clear rationale in terms of the economic and social development objectives, value-for-money (comparison of different options based on life cycle costs, benefits over time), bankability, future sustainability, and information related to environmental and social safeguards. Data collection and reporting capacity need to be strengthened, focusing on outcomes and results.

Improved feasibility studies and risk assessments can increase the attractiveness of investments in water and wastewater infrastructure. Regional facilities can help pool resources and provide support to countries unable to set up their own facilities, including capacity development for countries to be more autonomous. For example, the African Water Facility plays an instrumental role in supporting African countries to prepare bankable projects that address the increasing need for investment to build the development and management of water resources in Africa. Also, the Programme for Infrastructure Development in Africa (PIDA Service Delivery Mechanism (SDM) can be used by African countries to support early-stage project preparation at the national and regional levels. It also provides institutional advisory services, legal advice, communications, and capacity building.134
The institutional and regulatory environment supporting PPPs needs to be strengthened to attract international investors. This includes public financial management, procurement and contract management support to ensure budget transparency, long term commitment of public funds and regulatory certainty (see Pathway 1). Financial instruments should be customised to facilitate transaction simplicity and lend credibility to the investment. The form of PPPs will vary, depending on context. Figure 21 shows a simplified framework, focusing on the areas of project risk and project size which are most suitable for blended finance options.\textsuperscript{135} Initiatives such as the Institutional Investor Public Partnerships (IIPPs) of the AUDA-NEPAD Continental Business Network\textsuperscript{136} can be built upon. An investment viability fund provides guidance on tariff-setting, metering and verification of water use and waste discharge, and it provides funds to cover viability gaps where there is limited fiscal capacity.

Figure 21. A simplified framework for discussing blended finance

![Figure 21. A simplified framework for discussing blended finance](image-url)
Chapter 5: Action Plan

A five-point action plan is outlined below to implement the three pathways, with related key actions.

1. Establish cross-sectoral political leadership at the highest level, with commitment to substantially increase public budgets and investments for water security and sustainable sanitation. Water affects all economic and social sectors. Cross-sectoral leadership is critical. Make increased water security and sustainable sanitation a national and continental priority.

Key actions for African leaders, development partners and investors:
- Establish a high level cross-sectoral inter-ministerial ‘whole government’ forum mandated by the President, Prime Minister or Cabinet to champion investments in water security and sustainable sanitation and oversee implementation of the national water investment roadmap for growth and development.
- Elevate the position of water security within the political leadership and ministerial structure, emphasising its cross-sectoral importance.
- Review fiscal space and the role of water security in economic growth.
- Develop comprehensive, multi-sectoral, costed water investment programmes.
- Place water investment as a priority in the lending portfolios of national development banks.

2. Track progress and enhance mutual accountability for results in the mobilisation of water investments and in peer review mechanisms at continental, regional, national, sub-national, and community levels.

Key actions for African leaders, development partners and investors:
- Implement comprehensive and regular reporting, review, and analysis of progress on water security through the Continental Africa Water Investment Programme (AIP) Scorecard, the AMCOW Water and Sanitation Sector Monitoring and Reporting System (WASSMO) and national Joint Sector Review processes.
- Recommit to previous commitments by African governments to allocate of at least 5% of national budgets for water and sanitation sector and 0.5% of GDP per annum for sanitation and hygiene programmes.137
- Strengthen public financial management systems to improve reporting and accountability on water, including financial auditing of government accounts.

3. Mobilise new sources of funding and innovative finance, such as institutional investors and blended public-private finance.

Key actions for African leaders, development partners and investors:
- Strengthen or set up project development facilities meeting standards of institutional investors.
- Support matchmaking platforms to bring together the supply and demand for water finance with a special focus on climate resilient, blended finance, inclusive and gender transformative approaches.
- Conduct a comprehensive national tariff review to inform water tariff reforms that incorporate economic value of water, affordability, and social inclusion, incorporating the full cost of water in economic transformative sectors and investments.

4. Strengthen institutional regulation for water investments, create incentives and penalties for increased water efficiency across multiple industries to lead water stewardship efforts, biodiversity, and ecosystem protection.

Key actions for African leaders, development partners and investors:
- Define and implement an appropriate legal and regulatory environment for water and sanitation, including PPP legal frameworks.
- Update compliance mechanisms and fines to be imposed by regulators on water polluters, considering the economic value of water.
5. Use ODA to de-risk water investments and leverage larger funding streams. Improve implementation capacity, quality of bankable projects and strengthen international cooperation by aligning technical and financial support with regional, transboundary and national water investments programmes, strategies and plans.

Key actions for African leaders, development partners and investors:

- Develop a pipeline of bankable water projects.
- Establish system of formulating projects from concept stage up to technical and financial closure and ensure that a pool of viable project proposals is available at any given time. This will maximise the possibilities of attracting the much-needed resources for project implementation.
- Strengthen institutional regulation and commit to principles of sustainable finance, including (where possible): prioritising poor and vulnerable groups; utilising and strengthening government systems and capacities in financing, procurement, and monitoring.
- Consider exploring Special Drawing Rights allocations towards water, insurance and debt-for-nature swaps.
This report will inform the development of the High-Level Panel Investment action plan to guide the implementation of the pathways for the mobilisation of US$ 30 billion in climate resilient water investments by 2030. It will be vital for countries to act fast, building on the momentum of the UN 2023 Water Conference and other events being held in 2023 to build and capitalise on political support for investments.

As a matter of priority and to enable high level ongoing political leadership and oversight on implementation of concrete actions on investment mobilisation, the African Union needs to consider establishing, within its structure, a dedicated committee of Heads of States on water security and sustained sanitation like its Committee of Heads of States and Government on Climate Change (CAHOSC) with mandate to report regularly to the AU Summit on the status of water security and sanitation in Africa, supported by AU organs and the AIP secretariat.

To close the water investment gap and achieve SDG water related targets, it will be critical to implement national water investment programmes with appropriate peer to peer review mechanisms, using the water investment scorecard. National leaders as well as mandated lead institutions and development partners for water and sanitation should meet regularly to review progress, and seize opportunities to take forward this vision for their country, as follows:

1. Secure commitments to move forward with implementation of an investment roadmap.
   - Review overall roadmap proposal and how realistic it is for the country to implement.
   - Recommend how the roadmap pathways may be customised to the country.
   - Review which key actions might be committed to and propose adjustments.
   - Inform development partners of the intention to move forward and seek input as needed.

2. Initiate the process of establishing and enhancing high level political commitment and leadership to champion water and sanitation both inside and outside the water sector at continental, regional and national level.
   - Establish AU committee of Heads of State and mobilise high level political leadership and commitment to champion water investments and identify the specific areas to be addressed.
   - Engage institutions and investment funds with significant potential to raise financing for water and sanitation.
   - Commit to implement the investment roadmap.

3. Identify which foundational work to undertake.
   - Identify available evidence and key evidence gaps for making the investment case for water and for developing a finance strategy, investment plan and project pipeline.
   - Assess the timing/sequencing of studies to fill key evidence gaps and how they will fit together.
   - Prioritise and sequence pipeline of projects and allocate responsibilities for project development.
   - Develop an investment plan to achieve water security and sustainable sanitation.
   - Initiate use of the AIP scorecard, identify and fill data gaps, and link it with national instruments and processes supported by AU organs and AIP secretariat.

4. Plan how to implement the proposals for sector strengthening and ways to attract public funds and investor financing from both national and international sources.
   - Identify which aspects of the enabling environment are weakest and have greatest potential for boosting finance or increasing efficiency, equity, or sustainability.
   - Review which initiatives cited in this report are relevant for the country and have most potential.

5. Engage in regional and transboundary initiatives to strengthen roadmap implementation.
   - Make national commitments to implement elements of the roadmap, on both national and regional and transboundary platforms.
   - Seek input from and share experience of good practice with other countries.
• Implement regular peer-to-peer reviews and monitoring progress against key targets, commitments through the AiP-PIDA Water Investment Scorecard.

In addition, international finance institutions and investors should review how they plan to increase the quality and quantity of finance to support African countries achieve water security and sustainable sanitation for all.
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