









AU-AIP AFRICA WATER INVESTMENT SUMMIT 2025

13 - 15 August 2025 Cape Town, South Africa



AU AIP WATER INVESTMENT SUMMIT: PROJECT SHOWCASE

PROGRAMME/ PROJECT OVERVIEW	
Project name	Lake Victoria Mediterranean Sea Navigational Line (VICMED)
Location	Regional
Involved countries	Egypt, Sudan, South Sudan, Uganda, Burundi, Rwanda, DRC, Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania
Sub-Sector	Transport and Water
Project description (Goals and expected outcomes)	Aims to create a navigable waterway between Lake Victoria and the Mediterranean via the Nile, a multimodal transport corridor integrating river, rail, and road transport facilities & involving major engineering works (dredging, locks/bridges, inland ports, logistics centers), resulting in a low-cost, environmentally friendly transport route for goods/people, supporting trade, tourism, economic development, especially for landlocked countries.
Technological details/ innovation	Creation of a multimodal transport system on the Nile River, requiring dredging for sufficient depth, construction of locks to bypass obstacles (waterfalls/dams), & modification/construction of bridges for vessel passage. Includes development of inland waterway ports, intermodal terminals (river, rail, and road), & logistic centers at strategic locations. Navigational channels to mitigate water evaporation in swamp areas (e.g. Sudd).
Governance improvements / innovation	COMESA: coordinating and facilitating the project among member states. Project Operational Main Unit (OMU) being established in Cairo to oversee feasibility studies. An Operation Connection Unit will be established in Kampala when new funding is allocated.
	IMPLEMENTATION & KEY PLAYERS
Lead institution	Executing Agency: River Transport Authority, Ministry of Transport, Egypt
Implementor	COMESA
Sponsors / Investors / Contractors / Advisors	Sponsors: AU) / AUDA-NEPAD (PICI and PIDA project); Egypt (PICI Champion Country); COMESA; Governments of the Nile Basin countries Investors: Current Phase: AfDB / NEPAD-IPPF, Egypt, Korea-Africa Economic Cooperation Trust Fund. Prospective (Implementation): international/regional DFIs, participating governments, and potentially private sector partners will be sought. Legal Advisors: Eldib & Co (feasibility study-phase 1)
PROJECT TIMELINE & DEVELOPMENT STAGE	
Year of preparation, estimated start & end dates	Pre-Feasibility Study completed May 2015; Feasibility Study - Phase 1: Completed July 2019; Feasibility Study - Phase 2 (Part 1): Approved











AU-AIP AFRICA WATER INVESTMENT SUMMIT 2025

13 - 15 August 2025 Cape Town, South Africa



AU AIP WATER INVESTMENT SUMMIT: PROJECT SHOWCASE

	Jan. 2023, with estimated duration of 30 months. Expected completion	
	late 2026. Targeted operational date: next decade.	
Current development stage	Feasibility Study: Phase 2: two parts. AfDB approved partial funding	
Sarrent development stage	for Part 1 in 2023 for Strategic Environmental and Social Assessment	
	(SESA) - exp. completion: late 2026.	
PROJECT RATIONALE & STRATEGIC IMPORTANCE		
Alignment with SDGs etc.	1. SDG 5, SDG8, SDG 9, SDG 13 and SDG 17	
	2. Aspiration towards Agenda 2063	
Contribution to NDCs/NAPs	Aligned with NDCs/NAPs (low-carbon transport/resilience); Adaptation:	
etc.	resilience via trade, food security, and transport infrastructure;	
	Mitigation: Inland water transport is low-emission & reduces reliance	
	on roads; Alignment: Supports AfDB Strategic Framework on Climate	
	Change/Green Growth, Agenda 2063, and NDC commitments.	
Paradigm shift potential	Scalability: Regional corridor across 10 countries, with potential to	
	expand across Africa; Replicability: Lessons applicable to other major	
	river basins for waterway development; Policy/Behaviour Change:	
	Encourages shift to greener, cost-efficient river transport; Stimulates	
	harmonization of trade, customs, & navigation policies; Promotes	
	institutional reform & regional cooperation; Supports regional	
	integration & sustainable infrastructure in line with PIDA, AfCFTA, and Agenda 2063	
FINANCIAL & INVESTMENT DETAILS		
Total project cost, currency	Full implementation cost estimated to be between \$10 bln and \$12 bln.	
	The feasibility studies are estimated to cost approximately \$18.5 M.	
Funding already raised	USD 2.1 M raised for Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: NEPAD-IPPF	
	(AfDB): USD 2 M (95%); Egypt (in-kind): USD 100,000 (5%): signed	
	May 2024, entry into Force Dec. 2024.	
Proposed revenue model	May 2024, entry into Force Dec. 2024. The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods	
Proposed revenue model	·	
Proposed revenue model	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods	
Proposed revenue model Financial metrics	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7	
•	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies	
•	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies concluded the project is viable based on a multi-criteria analysis	
Financial metrics	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies concluded the project is viable based on a multi-criteria analysis using >50 socio-economic factors.	
•	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies concluded the project is viable based on a multi-criteria analysis using >50 socio-economic factors. BCR not calculated yet; full Cost-Benefit Analysis planned in Feasibility	
Financial metrics	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies concluded the project is viable based on a multi-criteria analysis using >50 socio-economic factors. BCR not calculated yet; full Cost-Benefit Analysis planned in Feasibility Study Phase 2	
Financial metrics BCR	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies concluded the project is viable based on a multi-criteria analysis using >50 socio-economic factors. BCR not calculated yet; full Cost-Benefit Analysis planned in Feasibility Study Phase 2 INVESTMENT ASK & WAY FORWARD	
Financial metrics BCR Remaining investment	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies concluded the project is viable based on a multi-criteria analysis using >50 socio-economic factors. BCR not calculated yet; full Cost-Benefit Analysis planned in Feasibility Study Phase 2 INVESTMENT ASK & WAY FORWARD Est. USD 9.7 M to close the funding gap for Phase 2 Part 1 and USD 6	
Financial metrics BCR Remaining investment required: project component	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies concluded the project is viable based on a multi-criteria analysis using >50 socio-economic factors. BCR not calculated yet; full Cost-Benefit Analysis planned in Feasibility Study Phase 2 INVESTMENT ASK & WAY FORWARD Est. USD 9.7 M to close the funding gap for Phase 2 Part 1 and USD 6 M for the ESIA (Grant). Est. USD 8–12 bln for design/construction.	
Financial metrics BCR Remaining investment	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies concluded the project is viable based on a multi-criteria analysis using >50 socio-economic factors. BCR not calculated yet; full Cost-Benefit Analysis planned in Feasibility Study Phase 2 INVESTMENT ASK & WAY FORWARD Est. USD 9.7 M to close the funding gap for Phase 2 Part 1 and USD 6 M for the ESIA (Grant). Est. USD 8–12 bln for design/construction. Financing needed: Grants (technical assistance and final studies, e.g.	
Financial metrics BCR Remaining investment required: project component	The project is expected to generate revenue from fees for goods transport, port and navigation usage fees, and income from tourism along the corridor. Est. Project Cost: \$10-12 bln. Feasibility Study Phase 2, Part 1: \$11.7 M. \$2.1 M secured. Financial Viability: Pre-feasibility & phase 1 studies concluded the project is viable based on a multi-criteria analysis using >50 socio-economic factors. BCR not calculated yet; full Cost-Benefit Analysis planned in Feasibility Study Phase 2 INVESTMENT ASK & WAY FORWARD Est. USD 9.7 M to close the funding gap for Phase 2 Part 1 and USD 6 M for the ESIA (Grant). Est. USD 8–12 bln for design/construction.	











AU-AIP AFRICA WATER INVESTMENT SUMMIT 2025

13 - 15 August 2025 Cape Town, South Africa



AU AIP WATER INVESTMENT SUMMIT: PROJECT SHOWCASE

	(mitigate risks)	
Bundling possible?	Yes; aligned with other regional transport and waterway projects (since 2022)	
Next steps	Immediate focus is on completing Phase 2 of the Feasibility Study, involving data collection (hydrological, topographical, etc.), the SESA, and economic analysis to select optimal project design/route. The project will then mobilize a funding package for implementation.	
TARGET GROUPS & SOCIAL IMPACT		
Direct beneficiary population	Enhances trade, access to global markets, tourism, particularly for landlocked countries. Fosters economic cooperation, jobs, access to goods/services for all nearby communities.	
Social/gender	Ongoing (preliminary gender and social assessment under SESA)	
Job creation	Not yet quantified; to be assessed in Phase 2 – Part 2 feasibility and ESIA.	
SUSTAINABILITY & ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS		
Env. compliance	Yes, ongoing (SESA), ESIA planned in Phase 2 – Part 2	
EIA (Y/N, date)	No planned in Phase 2 – Part 2	
ESG performance	No planned in Phase 2 – Part 2	
Engagement	Yes – Initial engagement and safeguard planning ongoing (SESA)	
	RISK MANAGEMENT	
Main risks & mitigation measures (Political, Legal, etc)	Political: Medium, mitigated by COMESA coordination, champion country (Egypt) and footprint countries support; Technical: Medium, mitigated via feasibility studies, regional expert recruitment, technical support; Financial: Low, co-financing discussions with AfDB/EIB/AIIB; Environmental/Social/Sustainability: Low, addressed by SESA (2023)/upcoming ESIA; Project Delivery: Low, mitigated by PMU/Steering Committee.	
Constraints or bottlenecks to finance	Financing for rest of Phase 2, Part 1 (USD 9.7 M) & Part 2 (USD 6 M) & detailed design pending; Downstream investments (USD 8–12 bln) require mobilization from private/public sectors/MDBs; Constraints: dependence on ratification, grant agreements, procurement.	
CONTACT INFORMATION		
AIP Secretariat	info@aipwater.org	